

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/905,308

07/13/2001

IBM Corporation, Intellectual Property Law Dept.

Robert S. Blackmore

POU920000146US1

6080

7590

2455 South Rd., M/S P386 Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

LAWRENCE D. CUTTER, Attorney

11/04/2004

EXAMINER

JEAN GILLES, JUDE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2143

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/905,30	08	BLACKMORE ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
_		Jude J Je		2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2001.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	ction is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/13/2001</u> .	08)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:		O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/905,308

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed on 07/13/2001.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed on the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on 07/13/2001 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaman et al (U.S. 6,011,780) in view of Miyagi et al (U.S. 5,461,607).

Regarding claim 1: Vaman et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Vaman et al teach a method for providing reliable communication in an interconnected network of data processing nodes (*figs. 1 and 2; column 7, lines 12-15*), said method comprising:

detecting a failure of nodes or communication links in a system using a heartbeat mechanism to indicate to said nodes that at least one of said nodes or said communication links are functioning or have failed (*column 11*, *lines 11-28*);

establishing an instance identifier associated with said failure (column 12, lines 9-17);

Vaman et al further teach sending notification of said failure (*column 7*, *lines 39-42*), including said instance identifier, to other nodes having existing communication links with said at least one failed node (*column 12*, *lines 9-25*); However, Vaman et al are silent on how to terminate, at said notified nodes, pending communication links that involve said at least one failed node, said termination being carried out in response to said notification.

In the same field of endeavor, Miyagi et al disclose "a case where the transmission line failure is in its own apparatus and the failure transmission line accommodates the Virtual Path which is terminated by the ATM-Switch" [see Miyagi, fig. 9c, items 310-312; column 2, lines 36-46].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Miyagi et al's teachings of detecting and terminating a transmission line failure with the teachings of Vaman et al, for the purpose of improving the ability of a network "to monitor node movement and take management actions to prevent disruption" as stated by Vaman in lines 38-43 of column 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,308

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding **claim 2**: The combination Vaman-Miyagi et al teaches the method of claim 1 further including the step of detecting that said at least one failed node is no longer in a failed state and resuming communications with that node using an incremented value for said instance identifier. [see Vaman, column 11, lines 47-53, column 16, lines 15-16, 38, and 47]. By this rationale **claim 2** is rejected.

Regarding **claim 3:** The combination Vaman-Miyagi et al teaches the method of claim 2 further including the step of resuming communications with said other nodes using said incremented instance identifier. [see Vaman, column 11, lines 47-53, column 16, lines 15-16, 38, and 47]. By this rationale **claim 3** is rejected.

Regarding claim 4: Vaman et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Vaman et al teach a data processing system comprising:

a plurality of interconnected data processing nodes (column 7, lines 12-15; figs. 1 and 2);

heartbeat signal generators within each said node for providing a signal to others of said nodes indicative of node failure status (column 11, lines 11-28);

heartbeat signal detectors within said nodes for indicating that a certain node has failed (column 12, lines 9-17);

Vaman et al further teach a first program within said nodes for establishing an instance identifier associated with each node failure and for transmitting notification of said failure and said instance identifier to nonfailed nodes (*column* 9, *lines* 24-63; *column* 16, *appendix* A); However, Vaman et al fail to explicitly

disclose a second program within said nodes for terminating, at said notified nodes, pending communication links that involve said at least one failed node, said termination being carried out in response to said notification.

In the same field of endeavor, Miyagi et al disclose "a AIS cell code stored in an AIS cell pattern memory with a data record including failure state management timer, monitor/drop bit, failure information and reverse direction VPI whereas the monitor/drop bit is determined by the type of termination of the VP. "[see Miyagi, column 5, lines 63-67; column 6, lines 1-8].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Miyagi et al's teachings of detecting and terminating a transmission line failure with the teachings of Vaman et al, for the purpose of improving the ability of a network "to monitor node movement and take management actions to prevent disruption" as stated by Vaman in lines 38-43 of column 8.

Regarding **claim 5**: The combination Vaman-Miyagi et al teaches the data processing system of claim 4 in which said heartbeat signal detectors also provide an indication that a failed node has returned to functioning status. [see *Vaman, column 9, lines 37-54*]. By this rationale **claim 5** is rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,308

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding **claim 6:** The combination Vaman-Miyagi et al teaches the data processing system of claim 5 further comprising a third program within said nodes which resumes communication with nodes that have returned to functioning status, said communication including transmission of a new instance identifier. [see Vaman, column 11, lines 21-28]. By this rationale **claim 6** is rejected.

Regarding claim 7: Vaman et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Vaman et al teach a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium (fig. 1, intelligent controller, ATM switch) on which is stored program means (column 8, lines 13-18) for:

detecting a failure of nodes or communication links in a system using a heartbeat mechanism to indicate to said nodes that at least one of said nodes or said communication links are functioning or have failed (*column 11*, *lines 11-28*); establishing an instance identifier associated with said failure (*column 12*, *lines 9-17*);

Vaman et al further teach sending notification of said failure, including said instance identifier, to other nodes having existing communication links with said at least one failed node (*column 12, lines 9-25*); However, Vaman et al are silent on how to terminate, at said notified nodes, pending communication links that involve said at least one failed node, said termination being carried out in response to said notification.

In the same field of endeavor, Miyagi et al disclose "a case where the transmission line failure is in its own apparatus and the failure transmission line

accommodates the Virtual Path which is terminated by the ATM-Switch" [see Miyagi, fig. 9c, items 310-312; column 2, lines 36-46].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Miyagi et al's teachings of detecting and terminating a transmission line failure with the teachings of Vaman et al, for the purpose of improving the ability of a network "to monitor node movement and take management actions to prevent disruption" as stated by Vaman in lines 38-43 of column 8.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJG

October 30, 2004

Primary Examined

Art Vict 2143

William C. Vaughan J